VOLUME 1, NUMBER 4, 1981 AUGUST 21-SEPTEMBER 4, 1981 1981 – Washing ton 's.' Alternative Newspaper, is published every other week by 1981, Inc. Address all correspondence to 1706 Tskephone [202] 797-772. Letters and calenidar submissions are welcomed, they must be received. 14 days before publication. T Subscriptions are available for \$7.50 per year. \$1981 by 1981, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced without the written permission of the editor.



THE question of who killed John F. Kennedy may once again be brought to public attention, if a \$105 million lawsuit against :Washingtonian Magazine ever reaches the courts of

The suit, filed by former-CIA employee, David Atlee Phillips, concerns an article in Washingtonian's November 1980 issue, entitled "Who Killed J.P.K." Phillips is suing the magazine for defamation of character, charging that the articleimplicates him as Kennedy's assassin.

Written by congressional investigator Gaeton Fonzi, the story is a detailed, complicated account of a Fonzi's own search for the truth of, the assassination, under the guidance of the House Assassinations Committee. Fonzi was eventually frustrated in his search, according to his account, by the congressional shutdown of the assassination investigation.

Although Fonzi believes he came close to learning the truth, the early termination of the investigation left 21 406-4589 81 '1981'

him just short of definitive answers. But before it ends, the 80,000-word treatise comes to a few conclusions. First, the assassination was indeed a conspiracy, not the efforts of a lone gunman as the Warren Commission concluded. Second, it was the work of anti-Castro Cubans, as well as at least one employee of the CIA." Based partly on the testimony of Antonio Veciana, an anti-Castro Cuban, the report hypothesizes that Cubin, the report hypothesizes that I ennedy's assassmation was mas-terminded by CIA "sprimister" A unce Bishop, Forzi claims that Bishop, and much of the rest of the CIA, was disgraniled because of School as alignmiled becaule of Kennedy's handling of the 1960 Bry of Pres incidents and the Cohrist missile cruits the following scho-ling two school of spotial for the school of the school of Control of the school of the Cities of the school of the school of the Cities of the school of the school of the Cities of the school of the school of the Cities of the school of the school of the Cities of the school of the school of the school of the Cities of the school of the school of the school of the school of the Cities of the school of the sc him. him Eishop, according to Vectima testimony to Fonzi, secretly super-rised events meant to subotage Kennedy's foreign policy, especially during the missile crisis, such as helping to plan and direct the ac-tivities of a powerful band of Cuban 7 terrorist, exiles, called Alpha 56. The group carried out several raids into Cuba during Kennedy's Erucity negotiations-with Nikita Krascher concerning the missile crisis, and Veciana was their official leider Veciana also claimed to have met with Bishop and Lee Harvey Oswald in Dallas in September 1963, two months before Kennedy 1 was assassinated, And here is the hlitch. Maurice Bishop, Fonzi asserted, was a pseudonym. And he is fairly certain that the assassin's real name is David Atlee Phillips, ailthough he never, directly stated Phillips, Fonzi said, physically, resembles the composite drawing of the mysterious Bishop, and also has a similar background of working in Cuba, Florida and Chile for the CIA during the same time period that Fonzi thinks Bishop was active.

Fonzi's conclusions, while not implicating Phillips directly, nevertheless strongly imply that Phillips was Bishop and is the missing link in the Kennedy assassination purzle. Phillips' lawsuit charges that the article "was intended to and did convey the impression that plaintiff had conspired to commit and committed felonies during his CIA career," including the assassination of Kennedy, obstruction of justice in the assassination investigation." and the direction of assassination

attempts against Fidel Castro in 1961 and 1971.

Thrusting Washingtonian even deeper into the mire, Phillips points to a few editor's notes and a postscript by Washingtonian editor Jack Limpen, which say, "Former CIA officer David Atlee Phillips is a main character in this story. Gaeton Fonzi .suspects' that Phillips\_is spymaster Maurice Bishop, but can Limperi, says Phillips accusa-tion and and published the foregoing false and thelofus charges Boat plaintill with actual malice." minie ma reput none stil thes been אין ההוותט ה לובה די שהו באובה cilumny, distrust and ridicule among the public his associates acquaintances; neighbors and friends, and he has been damaged in his writing Career, "the court papers charge - and Care sal The suit, however interesting, may be a long time in coming to court - Washingtonian ! publisher. Phillip Merrill, and author Fonzihave stalled its progress for three months with preliminary objec-tions, which must be ironed jout before the trial takes place and Merrill and Limpert-for instance, claimed preliminary, objections to the suit, because when they were served the initial summons to the trial through certified mail; it was received and signed for by a Washingtonian ad representative, Denise Lockay, whom neither of them had authorized to receive such information. In a motion to the court, then, the editor and publisher Trespect-fully request that process be quashed for insufficiency of service and thaty the suit against them be dismissed with costs. -Their summons was re-served.

Gaeton Fonzi's preliminary objec-tion concerned his "personal juris-diction," or the fact that the suit was filed against him in a Mont-gomery County circuit court, and he is a resident of Ft. Lauderdale, Flazze e triff is baract is error of hibel, Fonzi said, "I don't think there's any basis for them. The story chronicles the committee's investigations. It did not implicate him in the killing of John F. Ken-nedy," he added. "He was the sub-ect of the committee's investiga-tions?" I be was the sub-fischoods and I am in litigation that's all I can say," he added. "The accusations were outrageous falschoods and I am in litigation that's all I can say," he added. "Ibel of not, the Washingtonian will continue to stand by its mary. Though," as their, I awyer in rendence, John Saming, said, "We really haven't much choice."